

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/626,999	Applicant(s) CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner Fred I. Ehichioya	Art Unit 2162	

All Participants:

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(2) Kenton Mullins (Reg. No. 36,331):
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 September 2007
Time: 6:15 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicant's representative (Mr. Mullins) and informed him that original claim 23 depends on cancelled claim 22.
Mr Mullins suggested that claim 23 should now depend from claim 21.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Mohammad A. H.


SPE 2169

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)